1	
2	0
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR04-1329-CAS
11	Plaintiff, SUPERVISED RELEASE v. REVOCATION AND JUDGMENT
12	SAMUEL YANES-ROSALES,
13	Defendant.
14	
15	On January 5, 2011, this matter came before the Court on petitions to show cause why
16	supervised release should not be revoked filed August 8, 2007 and December 17, 2010. The
17	Government, William Crowfoot, the defendant and his appointed attorney, Myra Sun,
18	Deputy Federal Public Defender, were present. The U.S. Probation Officer, Lisa Sandoval,
19	was also present.
20	The defendant admits to allegation no. 2 of the Petition on Probation and Supervised
21	Release filed on December 17, 2010. The defendant denies allegation no. 1 of the Petition
22	on Probation and Supervised Release filed on August 8, 2007. The Court grants the
23	Government's request to dismiss allegation no. 1 of the Petition on Probation and Supervised
24	Release filed on August 8, 2007.
25	The Court FINDS that the defendant is in violation of the terms and conditions of his
26	supervised release as set forth in the Judgment and Probation/Commitment Order of October
27	25, 2004.
28	

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT that defendant's supervised release is hereby revoked. Defendant is hereby committed to the Bureau of Prisons for a term of six (6) months, with no supervised release to follow. The Court ORDERS the defendant's supervised release is hereby revoked. IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a copy of this judgment to the United States Marshal or other qualified officer and that said copy shall serve as the commitment of defendant. FILE/DATED: January 5, 2011 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE TERRY NAFISI, CLERK By: __/S/_ Catherine M. Jeang, Deputy Clerk